IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Roland Contreras, et al. Examiner: Quang Nguyen

Serial No.: 10/672,484 Art Unit: 1633

Filed: September 25, 2003 Docket: 13748Z

For: PROTEIN GLYCOSYLATION Dated: June 1, 2009

MODIFICATION IN METHYLOTROPHIC

YEAST

Confirmation No.: 8325

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Sir:

Subsequent to the mailing of the Examiner's Interview Summary on May 1, 2009, Applicants respectfully submit the following Statement of the Substance of the Interview for entry in the above-identified case.

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Patent & Trademark Office via Electronic Filing through the United States Patent and Trademark Office e-business website, on the date shown below.

Dated: June 1, 2009

SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

The undersigned attorney conducted a telephone interview with Examiner Nguyen on April 28, 2009. Claim 90 was discussed. Claim 114 was also briefly discussed.

The Examiner indicated that the currently amended claims appear to overcome the rejections of record. However, the Examiner raised the issue of whether the specification provides adequate guidance for the broad genus that encompasses a functional part of T. reesei α -1,2-mannosidase whose expression results in the production of Man₃GlcNAc₂ as a predominant N-glycan structure of a predominant intermediate N-glycan structure. The undersigned attorney submitted that the specification provides adequate guidance including exemplifications for functional parts of T. reesei α -1,2-mannosidase. The undersigned attorney further submitted that should the Examiner ultimately consider the disclosure to be inadequate and raise a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, Applicants would like to have an opportunity to respond accordingly.

Additionally, the Examiner noted that claim 90 is not limited to a genetically engineered strain of *Pichia* in which the Och1 disruption is the sole genetic disruption of the Golgi mannosyl transferases acting in N-glycosylation of the strain, and therefore there is a potential §103 rejection. The undersigned attorney submitted that while the Och1 disruption being the sole genetic disruption of the Golgi mannosyl transferases is a preferred feature and is delineated in dependent claim 114, for example, this feature is not the only ground on which the claimed strains are patentably distinguished from the cited prior art viewed in combination, as submitted in Applicants' Response dated March 16, 2009.

Applicants, through the undersigned, wish to thank the Examiner for the courtesy and helpful discussion during the interview.

Respectfully submitted,

Xiaochun Zhu

Registration No. 56,311

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser, P. C. 400 Garden City Plaza-STE 300 Garden City, New York 11530 Telephone: 516-742-4343 XZ:ab

TRANSMITTAL LETTER Docket No. (General - Patent Pending) 13748Z In Re Application Of: Roland Contreras, et al. **Group Art Unit** Confirmation No. Application No. Filing Date Examiner Customer No. September 25, 2003 10/672,484 23389 1633 8325 Quang Nguyen PROTEIN GLYCOSYLATION MODIFICATION IN METHYLOTROPHIC YEAST **COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS:** Transmitted herewith is: - Statement Of The Substance Of The Inteview in the above identified application. X No additional fee is required. A check in the amount of is attached. The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. 19-3886/RCT as described below. Charge the amount of Credit any overpayment. Charge any additional fee required. Payment by credit card. Form PTO-2038 is attached. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038. Dated: June 1, 2009 Signature Xiaochun Zhu Reg. No. 56,311 Scully, Scott, Murrphy & Presser, P.C. hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal sufficient postage as first class man in an envelope addressed the "Commissioner or Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on

CC:

Correspondence

re of Person Mailing

(Date)